Failure to Prevent Fraud Risk Assessment Roadmap





Introduction

The UK's Economic Crime and Corporate Transparency Act 2023 (ECCTA) enacted a new corporate offence of failure to prevent fraud. The only defence to this offence requires a commercial organisation to demonstrate that it had reasonable procedures in place to prevent fraud.

Under the offence, an organisation may be criminally liable where an employee, agent, subsidiary or other "associated person", commits a fraud intending to benefit the organisation or a person to whom the associate provides services on behalf of the organisation, and the organisation did not have reasonable fraud prevention procedures in place. There is no need for a prosecutor to prove that senior management was involved in or knew about the fraud.

The offence can apply to overseas (non-UK) organisations if the fraud is committed in the UK or targets UK victims.

The starting point for any commercial organisation wishing to ensure that it has reasonable procedures in place is to conduct an objective and proportionate risk assessment to identify any fraud risks that it may face.

That risk assessment should not be viewed as a one off exercise, but should be repeated at regular intervals, including where developments in the business may alter the overall risk profile of the organisation.

Set out below is a 'roadmap' of questions designed to identify information that will enable a business to determine what fraud risks it may face.

Having answered these questions, an assessment can then be made of the fraud risks faced by the commercial organisation and the extent to which its policies and procedures reasonably address those risks. Thereafter, any further necessary measures can be adopted and implemented with a view to ensuring that the business has reasonable procedures in place that are ECCTA compliant.



1. Business size, exposure, associated persons

1.1 Business size

- ☐ Is your organisation a 'Large Organisation', meaning it meets two of the following:
 - (i) Turnover of more than £36million;
 - (ii) Balance Sheet total of more than £18 million; or
 - (iii) More than 250 employees.
- ☐ Is your organisaiton a parent of a group that meets two of the following:

(2)

1.2 Associated person

- Who are your organisations
 Does your organisation:
 - (i) Have employees?
 - (ii) Use agents?
 - (iii) Have any subsidiaries?
- Does the organisation engage entity which performs service example, where you business

2. Fraud risk

The UK Government guidance highlights the following useful questions in assessing the risk of fraud:

2.1 Opportunity

- ☐ Do the associated persons have the opportunity to commit fraud?
- Which departments or roles potentially have the greatest opportunity to commit fraud in scope of the offence, for example finance, procurement, investor sales, marketing?
- Are there risks associated with taking on agents or contractors

2.2 Motive

- Does the reward and recognic commissions or bonuses) inc
- Are there particular financial organisation, for example by an upcoming merger, contract raising, loan, permit or licence financial reporting dates?
- Do time pressures encourage potentially fraudulently?

3. Assessing your current approach to fraud

3.1 Policies and procedures

- ☐ Do you have:
 - (i) An Anti-Fraud policy?
 - (ii) An ABC Policy?
 - (iii) A Failure to Prevent Facilitation of Tax Evasion policy?
 - (iv) A Gifts & Hospitality Policy?

- (vii) A Supplier Code of Ethic
- (viii) A Whistleblowing Police
- (ix) Any other policies which preventing fraud from occ policy, due diligence policy the disciplinary policy)?
- Is compliance with the proce

4. Reasonable procedures

The UK Government has issued guidance on **reasonable procedures to prevent fraud**, detailing elements that could be considered sufficient to demonstrate effective anti-fraud policies and procedures. The guidance identifies six principles:

4.1 Top level commitment

- ☐ To what extent, and in what ways, does your Board/senior management communicate and endorse the organisation's stance on preventing fraud?
 - (i) Is there a commitment to rejecting fraud even if means losing business?
 - (ii) Is there an open culture where staff feel empowered to

4.2 Risk assessment

- Does your organisation alread relating to fraud and other ed
- How often are such risk asse
- Did any previous consideration of the factors identified by the on reasonable procedures to was there focus on the fraudand rationalisation)?
- Do you utilise relevant internations sources when conducting its

4. Reasonable procedures

4.4 Due diligence

- What processes do you have in place to undertake due diligence (fraud specifically and/or more generally) on, and assess the risk of, new and existing employees, agents or subsidiaries and other associated persons?
- Have you relied on existing due diligence procedures?

 If so, did your organisation review and update the procedures with specific reference to fraud risks?
- Do you use any independent third party due diligence service

- Does your organisation proving relevant employees?
 - (i) How often is the training p
 - (ii) Does this differ for person obvious fraud risks?
 - (iii) Is the training evaluated a be achieved?
 - (iv) Are training records come
- Do vou require personnel to a

4. Reasonable procedures

Fraud investigation Implementation of anti-frau How does your organisation analyse procurements, ■ Do you monitor the impleme payments and invoicing? How quickly are discrepancies fraud prevention measures? flagged and to whom? ☐ What information is collected ☐ What processes are in place for detecting unauthorised anti-fraud policy? access to data? ☐ Is information detailing fraud ☐ What factors would trigger an internal fraud investigation and member of the senior manage how is this documented? Is compliance with the anti-

5. Specific concerns

Have any potential fraud issues been identified as part of any internal or external audits conducted within the past 5 years? If so, how were these dealt with?
Have any whistleblower reports referred to potential fraud issues within the past 5 years? If so, how were these dealt with?
Are you aware of any allegations, inquiries, investigations, prosecutions or other actions by a State regulator or prosecutor which may indicate a fraud issue connected to your organisation or a third party that performs services for or on your behalf?

Contacts



Jeremy Summers
Partner
T +44 20 7105 7394
jeremy.summers@osborneclarke.com



Chris Wrigley
Partner
T +44 117 917 4322
chris.wrigley@osborneclarke.com

Osborne Clarke in numbers

1320+

talented lawyers

working with

340+

expert Partners

in

26

international locations*

advising across

8

core sectors

with insight into

3

transformational trends

driven by

client-centred approach

Our locations around the world

Europe

Belgium: Brussels France: Paris

Germany: Berlin, Cologne, Hamburg, Munich

Italy: Busto Arsizio, Milan, Rome The Netherlands: Amsterdam

Poland: Warsaw

Spain: Barcelona, Madrid, Zaragoza

Sweden: Stockholm

UK: Bristol, London, Reading

USA

Miami, New York, San Francisco

Asia

China: Shanghai

India*: Bengaluru, Mumbai, New Delhi

Singapore

Osborne Clarke is the business name for an international legal practice and its associated businesses. Full details here: osborneclarke.com/verein/

*Services in India are provided by a relationship firm

osborneclarke.com